

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,127	01/28/2004	Wayne W. Fan	56978US007	2119	
32692 75	590 11/18/2005		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			HU, HE	HU, HENRY S	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1	10/766,127	FAN ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit			
		н	lenry S. Hu	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSION	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. tutory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO i). In no event, however, may a reply be tile pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🛛							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepto tion to the draw the correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. Se is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-12-2004</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. It is noted that this application 10/766,127 is a DIV of 09/938,188, which is now US

 Patent No. 6,689,854. In a close examination on current DIV application being rewritten from original dependent Claims 12-17, the Applicants have already corrected the informalities as previously pointed out by the Examiner in the parent case. However, the originally non-elected Group II (Claims 12-17) has been rejoined with the elected Group I (Claims 1-11 and 18) for allowance.
- 2. Since all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement made in Paper No. 5 dated January 13, 2003 was hereby withdrawn by the Examiner (see Notice of Allowability on September, 2003. Claims 1-16 are now pending with only one independent claim (Claim 1). An action follows.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The

Application/Control Number: 10/766,127 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 13-18 of US Patent No. 6,689,854 to Fan et al. (with application # 09/938,188 and a priority date 8-23-2001). This is a double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have in fact been patented.
- 5. Parent Claim 1 and its dependent Claims 2-16 of present invention relate to "a method for rendering a porous substrate repellent to water and/or oil-based stains" and it carries two continuous steps by making and using a specified copolymer with repeating units from monomers (a), (b) and (c) with the optional use of monomer (d). In a close examination, parent Claim 1 of present invention relates to make and to use an aqueous fluorine-containing polymeric composition comprising polymers carrying the language of "fluoroaliphatic moiety" for (a), "alkoxysilane moiety" for (c), and "non-hydrophilic group" for (d). The key point is that monomer (c) is related to an alkoxysilane-containing acrylate, acrylamide or thioacrylate, while the claimed optional monomer (d) must be non-hydrophilic.
- As discussed above, current application is a **DIV** case and is rewritten from its original and allowed dependent Claims 12-17. In a close examination on current Claims 1-16, they are exactly the same as original Claims 12-17 and thereby are in the same scope of parent case. The key point is that the originally non-elected Group II (Claims 12-17) has already been rejoined with the elected Group I (Claims 1-11 and 18) for allowance at that time. In

Application/Control Number: 10/766,127

Art Unit: 1713

summary, current Claims 1-16 are the same as Claims 13-18 (the original Claims 12-17) of the

allowed case. This Application needs to be amended or abandoned according to MPEP.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be

reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713, USPTO

November 11, 2005

S WL

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Page 4